Assessment of the Traditional Processing Methods in the Reduction of Aflatoxin Levels in Maize and Maize Products in Kenya

KIRUI, C.C[1], MEWA, E.A.[2] and IMUNGI, J.K[1].

[1]Department of Food Science, Nutrition and Technology, University of Nairobi,
P.O. Box 29053-00625, Nairobi, Kenya.
[2]Department of Animal Science, University of Eldoret, P.O. Box 1125-00300, Eldoret, Kenya

Corresponding author: eunicemewa@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Aflatoxins are fungal secondary toxic metabolites produced predominantly by certain strains of the Aspergillus molds. The objective of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of Kenyan traditional processing methods in reduction of aflatoxin in maize. The traditional methods used included; alkaline cooking using Magadi soda and maize cob ash and decortication by pounding of wet maize with mortar and pestle, dehulling in mechanical mill and exposure to solar radiation. Standardization of alkali cooking was done before the actual treatment of the contaminated samples. Naturally contaminated samples of maize from the National Cereals and Produce Board (NCPB) stores were sampled and used in the experiment. Aflatoxin analysis before and after each of the treatments was done using ELISA method and total aflatoxins expressed in parts per billion (ppb) (dry weight basis). The results were subjected to statistical analysis at 5% significance levels ($p \leq 0.05$). The reduction of aflatoxin was found to be highest when maize was boiled in maize cob ash solution and it resulted in loss of aflatoxin from 83.1 ± 0.3 ppb to 7.0 ± 3.9 ppb. Dry decortication reduced aflatoxin from 51.3 ± 15.3 to 9.6 ± 0.8 ppb, boiling in Magadi soda led to a drop of aflatoxin from 59.5 ± 3.818 ppb to 13.4 ± 0.424 ppb, solar irradiation for 18 hours caused a drop of aflatoxin from 60.8 ± 1.8 ppb to 13.7 ± 0.1 ppb and pounding in Magadi soda resulted in least loss of aflatoxin from 81.5 ± 0.3 to 72.7 ± 0.2ppb. Aflatoxin loss in all the treatments was significant. Only dry decortication and boiling in maize cob ash solution brought down the aflatoxin levels to below the maximum allowable limit as set by the Kenya Bureau of Standards and East Africa Standard (10ppb).

Key Words: Aflatoxin, improved processing methods, Kenya, maize decontamination, traditional methods

RÉSUMÉ

Les aflatoxines sont des métabolites toxiques secondaires fongiques produits principalement par certaines souches de moisissures Aspergillus. L'objectif de cette étude était d'enquêter sur l'efficacité des méthodes de transformation traditionnelles kényanes dans la réduction des aflatoxines dans le maïs. Les méthodes traditionnelles utilisées comprenaient la cuisson alcaline utilisant de la soude Magadi et des cendres de cosse de maïs, la décortication par pilonnage du maïs humide avec un mortier et un pilon, le décorticage dans un moulin mécanique et l'exposition à la radiation solaire. La standardisation de la cuisson alcaline a été effectuée avant le

traitement réel des échantillons contaminés. Des échantillons de maïs naturellement contaminés provenant des entrepôts du Conseil National des Céréales et Produits (NCPB) ont été échantillonnés et utilisés dans l'expérience. L'analyse des aflatoxines avant et après chaque traitement a été réalisée à l'aide de la méthode ELISA et les aflatoxines totales exprimées en parties par milliard (ppb) (base de poids sec). Les résultats ont été soumis à une analyse statistique à des niveaux de signification de 5% (p≤0.05). La réduction des aflatoxines a été la plus élevée lorsque le maïs a été bouilli dans une solution de cendres de cosse de maïs, ce qui a entraîné une perte d'aflatoxines de 83,1±0,3 ppb à 7,0±3,9 ppb. La décortication à sec a réduit les aflatoxines de 51,3±15,3 à 9,6±0,8 ppb, la cuisson dans la soude Magadi a entraîné une baisse des aflatoxines de 59,5±3,818 ppb à 13,4±0,424 ppb, l'irradiation solaire pendant 18 heures a causé une baisse des aflatoxines de 60,8±1,8 ppb à 13,7±0,1 ppb, et le pilonnage dans la soude Magadi a entraîné la plus faible perte d'aflatoxines de 81,5±0,3 à 72,7±0,2 ppb. La perte d'aflatoxines dans tous les traitements était significative. Seules la décortication à sec et la cuisson dans une solution de cendres de cosse de maïs ont permis de ramener les niveaux d'aflatoxines en dessous de la limite maximale autorisée fixée par le Bureau des Normes du Kenya et la Norme de l'Afrique de l'Est (10 ppb).

Mots-clés : Aflatoxine, méthodes de transformation améliorées, Kenya, décontamination du maïs, méthodes traditionnelles

Introduction

Aflatoxins are potent toxic, carcinogenic, mutagenic, immunosuppressive agents, produced as secondary metabolites mainly by the fungus *Asper*gillus *flav*us and *Aspergillus parasiticus* (Reddy *et al.,* 2011). These fungu invade several crop species and under certain environmental conditions produce aflatoxins before and after harvest under conditions that occur quite commonly in crop species like maize and groundnuts (Pitt *et al.,* 2013). In maize particularly, it can occur in the standing crops during the late milk stage when there is high precipitation in the environment or when there is drought stress (Williams *et al.,* 2004). It can also accumulate at post-harvest when maize is stored with improper drying and storage in non-ventilated storage or in adverse storage conditions which allow moisture reintroduction either through leakages or damp storage conditions, through insect infestation and high temperatures (Hell *et al.,* 2011). *Aspergillus parasiticus* produces four major aflatoxins: B1, B2, G1 and G2, in which the toxicity is in the order of B1 > G1 > B2 > G2 (Domer , 2004). Maize is the main dietary staple of Kenyans, and it is also one of the crops most susceptible to infection by *Aspergillus flavus* contamination. Kenya is one of the world's hotspots for aflatoxin as shown by the survey done by the International Food Policy Research Institute. From 2004 to 2006, about 200 Kenyans died after consuming aflatoxin contaminated maize (IFPRI, 2011). A report by FAO/WHO names aflatoxins among the four ingredients which have a substantial impact on the food-borne burden of disease (WHO, 2015). The combination of good agricultural practices and the use of proper controlled storage conditions are used to minimize the potential for mycotoxin contamination, however these strategies have been shown to be unable to assure elimination of mycotoxin producing organisms. Decontamination techniques are therefore needed to control aflatoxin risk.

Recent research activities seem to be shifted towards reducing aflatoxin already present in foods. Several biological, physical, and chemical methods have been tested and evaluated in the mitigation

of aflatoxins (Sipos *et al*., 2021). However, most farmers in Kenya are not well equipped with the detoxifying methods. Infact, novel methods of detoxification have rarely been used as they are expensive and not easily available. The main aim of the decontamination is to inactivate, destroy or remove the toxin without any change in the nutritive value and food/feed acceptability of the product (Marshall *et al.,* 2020). Due to above reason, dry dehulling, Magadi soda (natural soda ash) decortication, ash decortication and solar radiation could be the most cost effective, easy to use and easily available local methods of reducing aflatoxin contamination if their effectiveness is proven. Also, UV radiation could be exploited for use commercially by incorporating it to the commercial drying system. This study therefore, aimed at assessing the effectiveness of these traditional and improved processing methods in the reduction of aflatoxin levels in maize and maize products.

Research Approach

Samples. Bagged maize which had been obtained from farmers after it was confirmed as aflatoxin positive was randomly sampled from the NCPB stores by spiking randomly using sack-type spear to 90 kg bulk sample (KEBS 2008-KS EAS 79:1999). This sample was then mixed thoroughly to homogenize it using sample divider cum homogenizer which mixes the sample. The initial moisture content of the samples was analyzed at a fixed temperature of 105 °C using method 967.08 (AOAC, 2005). The bulk sample was then divided into six parts each of 15 kgs for the six treatments, i.e., wet decortications, dry decortications, boiling in Magadi soda, boiling in maize cob ash, solar irradiation and UV irradiation. The samples to be used in the experiments were hand sorted to remove rotten diseased (and discolored maize) then passed through a 4mm sieve to remove any foreign matter. The samples were then kept in the dark during the experiment period. Aflatoxin testing for the samples was done using Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assays (ELISA) as outlined in the training manual courtesy of Boratest® Technology (Bora Biotech® Ltd., 2009).

Alkaline decortication of contaminated maize samples pretrial process. The process was standardized by a pretrial process, where progressive weights of Magadi soda of 25g, 50g, 75g and 100g were mixed with 250g of maize and 750ml of water and boiled till the testa dissolved readily. The effectiveness of decortication was observed by taking a cooked grain and rubbing against the thumb and the index finger to feel the ease with which the pericarp comes off. The time in minutes needed for effective decortications in each of the weights of Magadi soda was recorded. Corresponding pH's of the solutions at each of these weights of the Magadi soda was also measured using a calibrated digital pH meter (model-PHS-3B) at the room temperature. To ensure the optimization of the process, the least weight Magadi soda that decorticated at the shortest time possible was taken, which was then used in the actual treatment of the contaminated sample.

Decortication by Boiling in Magadi Soda Solution. Before boiling in the Magadi soda solution, the initial aflatoxin content of the sample was analyzed, 250g was weighed, washed in water to remove surface dirt and then mixed with the weight of the Magadi soda which resulted in the least time as in the pretrial process. Three quarter liter (750 ml) of water was added to the mixture and then brought to a heat source to boil for the length of time corresponding to the weight that brought the least time of decortication. When it was ready, the mixture was washed serially four times in water where 500 ml of water was used in each washing while being rubbed gently between the palms to remove the dissolved and loose pericarp. From the cleaned sample, 50g was taken for aflatoxin analysis. This process was done twice.

The process for the control experiment was similar as the previous experiments except that for this treatment, the aflatoxin-contaminated sample was boiled in 750 ml of tap water without Magadi soda.

Decortication by boiling in maize cob ash. After the analysis of the initial aflatoxin content, 500g of this sample was admixed with the least amount of ash that resulted in the shortest time of decortication as was obtained during the standardization step above. When the sample was ready, it was decorticated by rubbing off the pericarp between the palms and then rinsed serially in four liters of water with one litre in each rinse until the cleaning water became clear. The final aflatoxin level was then measured. Five hundred grams of the control sample was cooked in 1500 ml of pure water for the length of time same as the one used for the ash-cooked sample and then washed four times in one liter of water per wash. The final aflatoxin content of the sample was then measured.

Wet pounding using mortar and pestle. After taking the initial level of aflatoxin, 2 kg of the maize was weighed and placed in wooden pestle where it was sprinkled with 250 ml of magadi soda solution (containing 150g of Magadi soda) and 250 ml water as a control, without giving time to soak. The conditioned maize was then pound on a mortar using a pestle where the pericarp chipped off through abrasion and impact. The decorticated maize was then dried briefly in cold air in the absence of light so as to allow easy separation of the pericarp from the decorticated grains during winnowing. The final aflatoxin content of the sample after the treatment was then analyzed.

Machine decortications. After measuring the initial aflatoxin content of the contaminated maize, two kilograms of the sample was taken for de-hulling using maize de-huller (HNCL, China). The de-hulled sample was then passed through sample divider and homogenizer. Hundred grams of this sample was ground and tested for aflatoxin. The process was repeated thrice.

Solar irradiation. Moisture content of contaminated maize sample each 2kgs, was analyzed and then spread on a black plastic sheet of $1m^2$ to one layer thick on the open sunlight for twenty four hours which was done in three phases of six hours a day. In each day, the sample was exposed to the sunlight for six hours, from 10am to 4.00pm preferably when the sun was bright. Aflatoxin content for the samples was taken after every six hours. This exposure to the bright sunlight was carried out when there was plenty of sunshine while the second experiment was carried out when it was partly cloudy. This was carried out to check how the aflatoxin reduction occurred in partial sunshine and in bright sunshine. The strength of the solar radiations for each of these days was obtained as average values of radiation per day from the solarimeter stationed at Jomo Kenyatta International Airport courtesy of Kenya Meteorological Department Headquarters, Nairobi. This was to ascertain the relationship between the strength of the solar radiations [affected by the cloud cover (insulation)] and the time of exposure with the loss of aflatoxin.

Statistical Analysis. Data showing the final aflatoxin content for maize exposed to the different treatments were subjected to one-way ANOVA using Genstat® Discovery 13[th]Edition at 95% confidence interval (P≤ 0.05). Variable means for measurements showing significant differences in the ANOVA were compared using the LSD. Values were judged to be significantly different by LSD if P< 0.05.

Results and Discussion
Cooking in Magadi soda (Pretrial process). The change in the pH of the solution with the increase in the concentration of Magadi soda is shown in Table 1. It also shows how the concentration of dissolved Magadi soda affected the amount of time

needed for effective decortication. Increasing the concentration of the Magadi soda did not cause significant change in the pH of the solution (P≤ 0.05). The highest pH attained at the highest concentration of the Magadi soda of 133.3g/ litre did not differ significantly from the lowest pH attained with the lowest concentration of 33.3g/liter. Nevertheless, Magadi soda solution exhibits alkaline properties and that is why it has found its use in the softening of the pericarp of cereal and legume grains such as sorghum, millet, maize and beans so that they can be cooked quickly (Muindi *et al.,* 2006). When the concentration of Magadi soda was increased from 33.3 g/litre to 100g/litre, the time needed to soften the pericarp reduced significantly from 50.5±0.7 to 33.3±1.4 minutes (Table 1). Concentration of Magadi soda at 100g/ litre of water was therefore found to be the optimum for effective decortications of 250g of maize as it resulted in the least time. This ratio combination would be recommended for decortication.

Decortication using maize cob ash (pretrial process). Maize cob ash was found to be strongly alkaline when dissolved in water as it exhibited pH above 11 from the first suspension containing 33.3g/l (Table 2). The pH continued to rise as more ash was added to the suspension. The pH of the ash suspension containing 33.3g of maize cob ash/liter of water was 11.6±0.7.

There was no significant change in alkalinity when the amount of ash was increased to 50g/l. However, when the amount of ash was doubled to 100g, the pH increased significantly to 12.0±0.0 and increased further to 12.5±0.1 when the ash was raised to 133.3g/litre but this time not significantly. The time needed for effective decortication decreased significantly (p<0.001) as the amount of ash was increased. The time needed for effective decortication was 49.7±1.5 minutes with 50g/l of ash. When the amount of ash was increased to 75g, the time needed for effective decortication reduced to 39.3 ±2.1 minutes even though the pH did not change. As the amount of ash was increased to 150g, the time needed for effective decortication reduced to 25.3±0.6 minutes. When the amount of ash dissolved is increased to 200g, the time needed for effective decortication is reduced to 19.0 ±1.0 minutes. When using maize cob ash, the lesser the amount of ash in the solution, the longer the time needed for effective decortication and vice versa.

Table 1. The relationship between the concentration of Magadi soda, pH change and the time needed for effective decortications

Weight of Magadi Soda(g/l)	*pH of solution	*Time taken for effective decortications(mins)
33.3	9.9 ±0.2[a]	50.5±0.7[a]
66.7	10.0±0.1[a]	42.0±1.4[b]
100.0	10.1±0.1[a]	33.0±1.4[c]
133.3	10.2±0.3[a]	33.5±0.7[c]

Figures with the same superscript in the pH column are not significantly different (p=0.539) and for time column (p<0.001)*(mean ± SD), N=3

Table 2. The relationship between the amounts of maize cob ash in water, the pH values, the time for effective decortication and the color of decorticated kernel

Weight of maize cob ash in g/l of water	*pH of solution	*Time for effective decortications(minutes)	Texture and color of the decorticated kernels
	11.6 ± 0.7[a]	49.7 ± 1.5[a]	Soft and darkly colored orange
50.0	11.7 ± 0.1[a]	39.3 ± 2.1[b]	Slightly soft and slightly orange
100.0	12.0 ± 0.0[b]	25.3 ± 0.6[c]	Hard and colored bright yellow
133.3	12.5 ± 0.1[c]	19.0 ± 1.0[d]	Hard, bright and creamish yellow

Figures with the same superscript in a column are not significantly different (p≤0.001)
*(mean ± SD), N=3

Table 2 shows the color of the decorticated maize associated with different levels of ash. When maize kernels are cooked in alkaline solutions, a yellowish orange color develops. The intensity of the color developed is affected by the concentration and the length of cooking. In this experiment, when less ash was used the time required to decorticate the maize increased. This resulted in the softening of the kernel due to overcooking and hence more penetration of the ash into it. Increased penetration of ash into the kernel makes the ash harder to rinse off. This leads to undesirable change in the flavor (soapy) and darkening of the endosperm. Using higher amount of ash on the other hand resulted in brightly colored and hard kernel because the cooking time is short and does not cause undesirable softening of the kernel. This results in less penetration of ash into the endosperm making it easier to rinse off.

Using 133.3g of ash/liter of water resulted in the shortest time needed for effective decortication i.e. 19 ± 1.0 minutes and had the highest pH value of 12.5 ± 0.1 which is strongly alkaline. This is the most optimum point in decortication using maize cob ash solution. This weight of ash and cooking time combinations were recommended as a standard procedure. Higher ratios of ash to water more than 133.3g causes higher pH but be considered uneconomical in the use of ash. In addition to this, the suspension becomes too thick to boil and sticks onto the pan as was noted during the experiment.

Lower levels of ash change the palatability and the resulting color of the decorticated kernels.

Effect of cooking of contaminated maize in maize cob ash and Magadi soda solutions on aflatoxin levels. The effect of cooking with Magadi soda and maize cob ash infusion on aflatoxin levels are shown in Table 3 in comparison with the control samples which were boiled in pure water. Boiling of the contaminated grains in Magadi soda led to a significant decrease in the level of aflatoxin from 59.5 ± 3.8ppb to 13.4 ± 0.4ppb. This translates to a loss of 77.5%. On the other hand, the loss of aflatoxin in the control sample was from 59.5 ± 3.8ppb to 44.1 ± 0.3ppb, which is 25.9%.

Boiling of the sample in the maize cob ash solution led to a significant decrease in the amount of aflatoxin from 83.1 ± 0.3ppb to 7.0 ± 3.9ppb which is a loss of 91.6%. Boiling of the same sample in water (control) led to decrease of aflatoxin from 83.1 ± 0.3ppb to 40.2 ± 0.3ppb which is a loss of 51.6%. When maize kernels were cooked in Magadi soda and maize cob ash solutions to effect decortication, the loss of aflatoxin was probably partly due to alkali degradation and leaching of the aflatoxins into the wash waters because even in the absence of the two alkalis, there was significant aflatoxin loss as shown by the control samples.

Table 3. The effect of boiling contaminated maize in the Maize cob ash and Magadi soda solution on the final aflatoxin levels

Cooking Medium	Control (water)			Alkaline cooked	
	*Initial Aflatoxin (ppb)	*Final Aflatoxin (ppb)	Percent Drop	*Final Aflatoxin (ppb)	Percent drop
Magadi Soda(100g/l)	59.5 ± 3.8^a	44.1 ± 0.3^b	25.9	13.4 ± 0.4^c	77.5
Maize cob ash(133.3g/l)	83.1 ± 0.3^a	40.2 ± 0.3^b	51.6	7.0 ± 3.9^c	91.6

Figures with the same superscript in Magadi soda and maize cob ash cooking row are not significantly different (p<0.001), LSD=7.155 and7.183 respectively.
*(mean ± SD), N=3

Boiling of contaminated maize reduces aflatoxin to a considerable extent (Reddy et al., 2002). The difference in the aflatoxin loss between the samples boiled in water (control) and the alkali cooked samples can be accounted for by the action of these alkalis on aflatoxin. Alkalis are capable of disrupting the lactone ring of the aflatoxins when exposed to it (Joan and Alfredo, 2005). The aflatoxin lost during the cooking process could also be attributed to high temperatures used. High temperatures lead to ring opening followed by decarboxylation and reaction may proceed further, leading to the loss of the methoxy group from the aromatic ring (Anjum et al., 2022).

Use of other alkalis like wood ash and calcium hydroxide in the nixtamalization of maize for tortillas by Mexicans also led to decrease of aflatoxins significant- (Albores-Mendez et al., 2004). The difference however between the Mexican corn nixtamalization and the traditional process as is practiced by some Kenyan communities is that in the latter, there is no steeping of maize in the alkali. Boiling is done directly because steeping led to deep discoloration of the endosperm which was undesirable as it increased residual alkali in the endosperm and hence alteration of the kernel flavor when cooked (Zahra and Ejaz, 2012). When Magadi soda and maize cob ash were compared in their ability to bringing down aflatoxin content in the contaminated samples during the alkali cooking, maize cob ash was found to be more effective than Magadi soda. Maize cob ash reduced aflatoxin levels to below the maximum allowable limit of 10ppb (KS EAS 2:2000) from

83.1ppb to 7ppb. Magadi soda reduced the levels of aflatoxin to 13.4ppb which is very close to the tolerance level of 10ppb. During cooking for consumption, it is possible that the levels would fall below the tolerance level.

Dry mechanical dehulling by milling and wet dehulling by mortar and pestle. Table 6 shows how wet dehulling by pounding using Magadi soda solution, pure water (control) and machine dehulling using convectional Posho mill affect the level of aflatoxin in de-hulled maize. Aflatoxin levels are expressed on dry weight basis. Decortication of contaminated maize containing 81.5 ppb of aflatoxin in the presence of Magadi soda reduced the aflatoxin level by 10.8 %. On the other hand, doing decortication using pure water (control) reduces aflatoxin by 1.6% which shows that pounding maize with Magadi soda solution at room temperature causes reduction of aflatoxin to small extent. This is a small change compared to boiling in Magadi soda to remove the pericarp. (Table 3), where heat catalyzed the breakdown of aflatoxin. Though the final aflatoxin level in both cases are statistically different from the initial one, this change is still far above the minimum level of aflatoxin as set by the East African Maize Standard (10 ppb). This agrees with the results by Mutungi, (2006) which showed that pounding of the contaminated maize soaked in Magadi did not reduce aflatoxin by a significant level. The aflatoxin reduction is however slightly greater with the use of Magadi soda than in control which showed that there was alkaline deactivation of aflatoxin.

Table 4. Effect of wet and dry dehulling on final aflatoxin levels

Decortication type	*Initial aflatoxin level (ppb)	*Final aflatoxin level(ppb)		Percentage drop in aflatoxin
		Control	Magadi/machine	
Wet	81.5 ± 0.3^a	80.2 ± 0.2^b	72.7 ± 0.2^c	10.8
Dry	51.3 ± 15.3^a	n.a	9.6 ± 0.8^b	81.3

Figures of the same superscript in a row are not significantly different for wet decortication and dry decortication (p<0.001, LSD=0.7576 and p=0.063, LSD=47.17)

Machine decortication of contaminated maize with aflatoxin level of 51.3ppb reduced the toxin level by 81.3% to 9.6 ppb which is below the minimum tolerance level set by the East African Standard which is 10ppb. The drop of the aflatoxin levels in the contaminated maize during dry dehulling (Table 4) agrees with the findings of similar researches done before. Dehulling maize grains and wheat could reduce aflatoxin contamination by up to 92% and 95% respectively (Siwela *et al.*, 2005). In this case, artificially contaminated maize was used during the research as opposed to naturally contaminated as one used in this experiment, which could be the reason for the slight difference in the drop of aflatoxin levels between these findings and theirs. In artificially contaminated maize, all aflatoxins would almost be found topically and hence easily removed by dehulling.

During dry dehulling all the aflatoxins which are found at the peripherals are removed with bran and part of the aleurone layer. These aflatoxins do not have a chance to diffuse to other parts of the kernel. The remaining percentage could be found deeper in the endosperm and at the germ as this process does not de-germinate.

In wet dehulling, water provides a medium for dissolution and diffusion of aflatoxin to other grains and other parts of the grain.

Solar irradiation. Tables 4a and 4b show the relationship between the solar irradiation and the time of exposure on the level of aflatoxin during bright sunshine and partial sunshine, respectively.

During the process, in the first test when there was bright sunshine, the exposure to the sunlight for the first 6 hours at a radiation of $27.4MJ/M^2$ reduced the total aflatoxin content from 62.1 ± 1.0 to 16.7 ± 0.4 ppb which is 73.1% reduction. Additional exposure to sunlight for another 6 hours at a radiation of $26.2MJ/M^2$ caused further drop of aflatoxin content from 16.7 ± 0.4ppb to 13.6 ± 0.1ppb, (78.1%) reduction. Further exposure for additional 6 hours did not result in further change.

Table 5a. Relationship between time of exposure to the sunshine and the aflatoxin level during bright sunshine

Time(hrs) of exposure	Average solarimeter reading (MJ/M^2)	*Aflatoxin content(ppb)	Percentage drop in aflatoxin
0	0	62.1 ± 1.0^a	0
6	27.4	16.7 ± 0.4^b	73.1
12	26.2	13.6 ± 0.1^c	78.1
18	26	13.8 ± 0.6^c	77.8

Figures with the same superscript in the final aflatoxin column are not significantly different (p<0.01, LSD=3.501)
*(mean ± SD), N=3

NB: the initial aflatoxin content of each subsequent phase is the final aflatoxin content of previous phase. Initial aflatoxin at time zero (0) is the aflatoxin content during the beginning of the experiment.

Table 5b. Relationship between time of exposure and the aflatoxin level during partial sunshine

Time (hrs) of exposure	Average solarimeter reading (MJ/M^2)	*Aflatoxin content (ppb)	Percentage drop in aflatoxin
0	0	59.5 ± 0.1^a	0
6	23.3	16.3 ± 0.4^b	72.6
12	23.5	16.3 ± 0.6^b	72.6
18	26.1	13.6 ± 0.8^c	77.1

Figures with the same superscript in the final aflatoxin column are not significantly different (p<0.001)
*(mean ± SD), N=3

In the second experiment which was done in partially cloudy weather, the first 6 hours of exposure at a radiation value of 23.3 MJ/M^2 caused the total aflatoxins to drop significantly from 59.5±0.1ppb to 16.3±0.4ppb which is a percentage drop of 72.6%. Further exposure to radiation of 23.53 MJ/M^2 for further 6 hours did not cause significant drop in the level of aflatoxin at p<0.001. However, when the amount of radiation increased to 26.1 MJ/M^2, the aflatoxin levels dropped significantly from 16.3±0.6 ppb to13.6±0.8 ppb which is a drop of 77.1%.

The two experiments carried out in bright and partial sunshine proved that the drop of aflatoxin in the contaminated maize was affected by the strong radiations from the sun which was affected by the cloud cover. Where the radiations were stronger, the reduction in aflatoxin level was higher on exposure to the sunlight (Table 5a) as compared to where strength of radiations was lower (Table 5b). Reduction of aflatoxin contamination in whole maize was significant in the first six hours and thereafter, further exposure caused very small or no change in aflatoxin levels. Though it reduced, aflatoxin in maize kernels could not be destroyed completely by the sunlight.

In whole grains, the destruction of aflatoxin by the sunlight may be limited by the size of the grains since a similar experiment done using ground contaminated animal feed showed that aflatoxin was almost completely destroyed when exposed to the sunlight. In this experiment however, the strength of the solar radiation was not measured (Gowda et al., 2005). The reason why aflatoxin could not be destroyed completely in whole kernels could be because in whole maize grain, aflatoxin can be found in the inner parts of the kernels. Fractions of the contaminated maize kernels showed that aflatoxin was present in each one of them though at different levels. The bran had the highest aflatoxin levels as compared to the endosperm (Miren et al., 2008). Considering maize grain size, it therefore becomes very difficult for the sun's radiations to penetrate deeper parts of the kernel where aflatoxin could be embedded and hence the constant level of aflatoxin despite increased time of exposure to the sunlight.

Conclusion and Recommendation

Aflatoxin reduction was highest when contaminated maize was boiled in maize cob ash solution. The least reduction was noticed with the exposure to the UV light. Exposing the maize to sunlight for 18 hours had the same effect as nixtamalization using Magadi soda. Therefore, studies need to be done to confirm the fate of aflatoxins when two or more of these treatments are combined in contaminated maize.

Statement of No-Conflict of Interest
The authors declare no conflict of interest in the paper.

References

Anjum, T., Iram, W., Iqbal, M., Abbas, M., Akram, W. and Li, G. 2022. Structure elucidation and toxicity analysis of the byproducts formed after biodegradation of aflatoxins B1 and B2 using extracts of mentha arvensis. *Toxins* 14 (1):24.

A.O.A.C. 2005. Official methods of analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists International, 967.08.Maryland, USA.

Bora Biotech® Limited, 2009. Testing For Aflatoxins in Foods Manual. pg 9-14.

Domer, J.W. 2004. Biological control of aflatoxin contamination of crops. *Toxin Reviews* 23 (2):425-450.

Hell, K. and Mutegi, C. 2011. Aflatoxin control and prevention strategies in key crops of sub-saharan Africa. *African Journal of Microbiology Research* 5 (5):459-466.

IFPRI. 2011. New Study Documents Spread of Aflatoxins In Kenya. Press release, January 13, 2011. Cited on www.ifpri.org

Joan, M. K. and Alfredo, D.P. 2005. Chemical detoxification of aflatoxin in feeds and foods. *Journal of Aflatoxin and Food Safety* 26:543-553.

KEBS. 2008. Cereals and Pulses as Grain-Methods of Sampling. KS EAS 79:1999, pg2

Marshall, H., Meneely, J. P., Quinn, B., Zhao, Y., Bourke, P., Gilmore, B. F. and Elliott, C. T. 2020. Novel decontamination approaches and their potential application for post-harvest aflatoxin control. *Trends in Food Science & Technology.* 106: 489-496.

Miren, C., Sonia, M., Vincente, S. and Antonio, J.R. 2008. Distribution of Fumonisins and Aflatoxins in Corn Factions during Industrial Cornflake Processing. *International Journal of Food Microbiology.* 123 (1-2):81-87

Muindi, P.J., Thomke, S. and Ekman, R. 2006. Effect of Magadi Soda treatment on the tannin content and In-vitro nutritive value of grain sorghums. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 32 (1): 25-34

Mutungi, C. M. 2006. Effects of Dehulling maize grains and treatment with chemical additives on the levels of aflatoxins during Muthokoi making and Preparation. http://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke:8080/xmlui/handle/123456789/19182

Reddy, S.V. and Farid, W. 2002. Aspergillus and aflatoxin in groundnut: Properties of aflatoxin and Its producing fungi. International Crop Research Institute of Semi-Arid Tropics

Reddy, K. R. N., Raghavender, C. R., Salleh, B., Reddy, C. S. and Reddy, B. N. 2011. Potential of aflatoxin B1 production by Aspergillus flavus strains on commercially important food grains. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 46 (1):61-165.

Sipos, P., Peles, F., Brassó, D. L., Béri, B., Pusztahelyi, T., Pócsi, I. and Győri, Z. 2021. Physical and chemical methods for reduction in aflatoxin content of feed and food. *Toxins* 13 (3):204.

Siwela, A.H., Siwela, M., Matindi, G., Dube, S. and Nziramasanga, N. 2005. Decontamination of Aflatoxin-contaminated maize by dehulling. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 85:2535-2538.

Suganthi, R.U. and Raghavendra, A. 2005. Effect of dry heat and sunlight on the aflatoxin content in compounded feed. *Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition* 22:32-134.

Pitt, J., Marta, I., Taniwaki, H. and Cole, M.B. Mycotoxin production in major crops as influenced by growing, harvesting, storage and processing, with emphasis on the achievement of Food Safety Objectives. *Food Control* 32 (1): 205-215.

WHO. 2015. WHO estimates of the global burden of foodborne diseases: Foodborne disease burden epidemiology reference group 2007-2015. World Health Organization.

Williams, J.H., Phillips, T.D., Jolly, P.E., Stiles, J.K., Jolly, C.M. and Aggarwal, D. 2004. Human Aflatoxicosis in Developing Countries: A Review of Toxicology, Exposure, Potential Health Consequences and Interventions. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 80 (5):1106-1122.

Zahra, N., Hina, S. and Ejaz, N. 2012. Detoxification of Aflatoxin B1 in Poultry and Fish Feed by various chemicals: Poultry and Fish Feed Detoxification. *Biological Sciences-PJSIR 55* (3): 154-158.